

G R S 58]

Previous references

Mel 208 3, 7, 15
27, 37, 37 & 42

1922
me

File No 33 22

Serial Nos. 10 to 15

Later references

CD 148-15-1450 (impl)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for December

SUBJECT

Estimates for laying out sites near
Seshadripuram police out post

15- 20, The ml commr Bangalore, the 26
Bangalore and the Comptroller
No 4104-61 d 4 12 24

States that the municipality
must bear the cost of laying out of an extension
in Seshadripuram.

14-1-25

Dated Bangalore, 9th April 1972.

Bangalore District.

To

the Maharaja of Mysore, General Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No. P.5449-52/Police 118-21-37 dated 4th January 1923, I have the honor to submit herewith for sanction the estimate amounting to Rs.8737/- for laying out the sites near Seshadripuram Police Out-post, Bangalore, together with the connected plans received from the President, City Municipality, Bangalore, with his No.445/C.I. dated 3rd April 1923.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Handwritten signature
For Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
(absent from station).

No 53 Forwarded with compliments to the Chief Engineer for Road
and buildings for favour of Jansen by order
C. Ramarao
to the Chief Engineer for irrigation in Rev. Secretary's
for favour of disposal -
W. R. Seshachari

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The General Secretary
The Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 9th April 1923

Referred to 11th

Received

General No. G.C. 2075/20-2

Enclosure No. 9

Submitting for sanction
an estimate for Rs 8737/-
for laying out sites near
Seshadripuram Police Sub
Post

Public Works Secretariat

File No

18

of 1921

Serial
No

3,3967 of 10-12-23.
18th

3031



1. Returned, with compliments.

2. The estimate is checked. I inspected the place and it is seen that plans and estimates are sent here after the sites are laid out and disposed of. As such only arithmetical check is possible at this stage.

[Signature]

13-10-23 -

Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Bangalore,

October 1923.

5981

Serial
No

6 draft mentioning the estimate, such as
already in the file is not for approval
appl.

11/11/27
11/11/27

2. The cost defrable to
the Fund
3. The cost will please
bring this up personally
in 5. 11.27 C.B.
3. 11.27

9. The Dewani order of 13th Oct 27
in Vol File 118 of 21 states that the
cost of laying should be met from
Sale proceeds. What was the amount
realized by the sale? How much of
it was paid to Mr Ramanga? Is
any balance available. Please examine
the case & note further on the point
som

C.B.
17/11/27

10. The amount realized by the sale of mls
is Rs 23,845/-. The amount of compensation
payable to Mr U. K. Ramanga is Rs 21,716-
9-7 + the interest at 6 per cent on this
amount from the 22nd July 1916 the date of
award up to the date of the ^{has been} payment. In fact
from the papers in the linked file
it is not possible to give out the amount
of the interest paid to Mr Ramanga and
so in the absence of this information it

5987

Serial
No

is not possible to say whether or there is
any balance available out of the sale
proceeds of mts. If necessary the
information will have to be obtained
from the Secy of the

The JNK

28. 11 23

11 The source from which the cost has
to be met has to be decided. The Secy
has ordered that ~~the~~ it should be met
from the sale proceeds of mts, but the
amount required is not available from
the sale proceeds. In the draft the charge
is proposed to be debited to the funds

L & L Secretary

CDP
20 11 13

4256
5/12 - 12 First member -

13 As the President
Municipal Council has
much has been realised from
the sale of the mts & why
cost should not be debited
Municipal Council

15
51

14 Draft of 8/12

ml 35-22

Serial
No

15 A sum of Rs 23,045/- has been realised out of the sale of rite, in the outpost and this has been credited to Govt.

16 In the above circumstances, draft calling for the information from the Dy Commr. as directed by the Asst Secy, is sent for appl.

4/2 HK

13 12 23

17 Issued at ml 21 12-23

11 To

The D C Bangalore

No d 4904 of 2 1 24

Call for information as to what amount was paid to Mr. H. Ramappa as Compensation out of the Sale proceeds of rite amounting to Rs 23045

Sup

12 Letter No. 2075/20-21 of 7-1-24 from the Dy Commr, Bangalore dt

States that a sum of Rs 28,422/- including interest has been paid to Mr. H. Ramappa and that the amount realised out of sale of rite being only - Rs 23,045/-, nothing remains.

3903

S 5 III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No 33 of 19 12

Serial
No

15 sale proceeds.

18 Submitted ~~trans~~ write up to para 13.

~~infra~~
~~16~~ 12/24

19 But up a draft to the ^{Comm} ~~Board~~
enquiry why the cost should not be debited
to the funds
CGB
19/12/24

20 Draft is sent for app.

21
22/12/24

21 Issue at once & remind the

Days hence
CGB
26/1/25

13 TO

The Ind Commt


L 6046 27 301 24

Enquires why the cost of the estimate may
not be debited to the funds of the Ind cl
and states that no amount is available
out of the sale proceeds of the stores in
for meeting this charge

14 Letters no 17 & 23.4 24 from the Gen (Com
Submits a copy of the report
of the Ind Commt opening that



22/



6012

Serial
No

the entire realizations from the sale of
were credited to Govt it was but fair
that Govt should bear the said charge

Whereas with ref to para 1 of supra,
no amount is said to be available to meet
this charge out of the sale proceeds of
the ~~the~~ which amounts to Rs 22000 -
1.5 lacs. This was conducted by the D's of C
and the amount was credited to Govt

13 23 Govt with reference to para
file file para 4 of the rules in the book

9330
615

First Number)

93
8/5/22

24) put up a full book
have not yet been

9330 M-
HMK
5524

21/5
21/5
21/5

Serial
No

15) The land on one part of which the Police
ent post near Seshadripuram now stands
was originally acquired by Govt for building
the ent-post and the owner Mr D H Rammiah
was awarded Rs 1375/- as Compensation. This
award was disputed by Mr Rammiah in
Court. The case ended however in
Compromise by Govt undertaking to pay
as per Compromise decree Rs 20485-57
together with interest at 6 per cent from
22 7 1916 to the date of payment.

This amount came up to Rs 7937 10 2
Mr Rammiah had was paid the whole
amount after making a deduction of Rs
53/8/- being the interest earned by Govt
on an advance of Rs 3000/- paid to him.

26) The land which measured 3 acres &
26 Guntas was thought to be unnecessary
for ^{purposes of the} ent-post and as such it was
decided to dispose of for house sites.
All the land excepting 11 Guntas which
had been reserved for the Police ent-post.
The excess land was converted into house
sites & sold. They were sold for Rs 2304
including ^{the} ~~the~~ ^{advertising} charges
& charges for marking out ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~land~~ ^{land}.

20485 5 7
7937 10 2
28422 15 9
53 8
28369 7 9

(y)

Serial
No.

amounted to about Rs 107/6

27) The amount payable to Mr Ramas was Rs 28422-15-9 i.e. more than the amount realised by the sale of sites. Since only a part of the sale price of the sites was received at the time & part was allowed to be recovered in instalments, the Comptroller with a view to avoid further payment of interest to Mr Ramas, proposed to pay to him immediately & meet the charge under Land acquisition charges in the State Budget while at the same ^{time} he proposed to credit the amount realised by the sale of sites to the revenue head. This proposal was accepted and the question of sanctioning an estimate of the Dy Commr for Rs 8737/- for laying out the sites was reserved for separate consideration.

28) The sanctioning of this estimate is per orders in the file

29) The Dewan in his minute dated 10 12 ordered that cost of laying out ~~the sites~~ be ~~deducted~~ from the sale proceeds. Since the sale price

31

The State Budget

NO P 5449-52, P O No 1182137
dated 4 1 23

Page 18 of the file
in the accompanying file

Serial
No.

have fallen short of the acquisition charges, the question assumes a new shape as explained by the Dy Commr in his letter of 7th January 1924. The M.C. are not willing to bear the charges as in their opinion the proceeds have gone to land.

~~But~~ The question ^{stands} ~~remains~~ at this stage for orders.

Read with refer to para 22 supra

305

30) But the request of the Dy Commr made in his letter of 27/11/22 that the question connected with the lay out of the ~~suburban~~ site, construction of roads, lanes, drains & grant of licenses to householders should be entrusted to the Municipality, first in their order of Jan 1923 accepted the proposal & requested the Municipality to prepare & submit necessary estimates.

31) The Duran has ordered that the cost of the lay out should be met from the sale proceeds which ~~are~~ are not sufficient to meet the charge.

32) The cost of the lay out is Rs 872.

Serial
No

The Govt wants sanction therefore
this may perhaps be approved.

33) But the question arises as to
where the money is to come from.
The Memt considers that as the sale
proceeds were credited to Govt, the
~~Municipality~~ Govt should
bear the charge. If so this is
a matter for consideration in the
Protection Branch as the land was
acquired for the Office and must be
disposed of under orders passed in
that branch. It will have to be met
perhaps by an additional grant.
The other Budget if no savings
are available to meet the charge
from that budget.

34) But as Municipalities may
~~derive~~ derive income from the
houses built ~~thereon~~ on the lands
in question, they may perhaps
be required to pay a portion
of the cost. It is not always
that Municipalities can expect
income from ~~sale~~ ~~sale~~ disposal
of lands for extending the

Serial
No.

with reference to para 24

1 and 2 Secy)

4/6/22

35 The charge ^{to be met from the Police}
Dept under the circumstances of the case
1st Secy

602
46

36 The lands were acquired originally
for the Police Department for a sum of Rs 1375
which was subsequently raised to Rs 25369-7-4
The Municipal Council was not a party to
these proceedings. Afterwards, the surplus
land after the construction of the project was
ordered to be sold and a plot of a sum
of Rs 230 45 which was credited to profit,
the malisatani have taken share of the
amount which was paid to the K. H. Nanna.
The value of the land - the amount was Rs 25369-7-4
less Rs 230 45 = Rs 25138-7-4
The amount over the malisatani
was borne by the profit - the cost of the
project was Rs 25138-7-4
also the borne by the profit - the Municipal
has I think rightly declared to be a
net amount.

1/6

37 I have called the attention 17/6

28 Secy

80

17/6

file to
and the Secy
actual
admission

'S OFFICE,

18 JUL 1924

No 491 ..

Serial
No

39 The 1st/4/22 at 11 am - 1/4
and C do deal with
40 If they don't pay we
need not take in the work
with the sale more fully for
any further being caused
with you

41 Please note in this
point a reimbursement

42/22/7
20/7/22

A

42 Attention is respectfully invited to para
61 (Page 18) of the office note in Police 118-
21, wherein the Dewan was pleased to observe
that the cost of laying should be met as
sale proceeds, which were credited to Govt

B

43 Attention is also invited to this office
letter no P 8134/Police 118-21-298 dated
19/2/22 to the Dy Commr, Bangalore dated stating
that the cost of lay out might be met
out of the sale proceeds of site

44 Submitted for order Refers to

44/24/1 above

44/24 JHK, 45 L L L (Ley)

45 Dewan

DEWAN'S OFFICE
REC'D ON 31 JUN 1924
Reg No 897

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No.

33

of 194

Serial
No

Let leave leaving this file in the
in leave May

LP
9/6
8/9/8

Let be aware order is to ask under what authority
the estimate was prepared & work carried out &
also to find the estimate.

8/20/8

for

2 y n)

P

W

Attention is respectfully invited to
this Office letter no. 1725/Police 118-21-25
directing that the land surrounding
the Police out-post at Seshadripuram
should be formed into sites jointly by
the Dy Commr, Bangalore and the Presi-
dent of the City Land Council, Bangalore
and also to E. B. no. 5449-52/Police
118-21-23 of the 4th Jan^y 1923 approving
of the Dy Commr's proposal regarding the
lay out of the said land into sites
and calling for the necessary estimates
from the President of the City Land Council.

The Dy Commr forwarded to Govt.

his letter no. 2075/20-21 of the 9th
April 1923 an estimate for Rs. 72
accordingly prepared by the President
of the City Land Council. Though a few houses
sprung up there have not been
any construction.
2/2/24
21.8.24

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

33

of 1922

Serial
No

H Y.

Subs regarding title enclosures, attention is directed to the Sale Notification filed by

23/4/22

53 (12 Aug)

23/4/22

On In G.O. No. L. 924-1/M1. 12-20-42, dated the 15th August 1921, Government have ordered that the City Municipal Council in Bangalore should bear in future the entire charges connected with the lay out of the remaining portions of the - Basavangudi and Malleswaram Extensions, the sale proceeds of the sites realised therein being also credited to their funds.

§5. The same rule may perhaps be held applicable to lay outs in the other extensions as well.

The Municipality has sent an estimate for - - Rs. 8,737 for the lay out and states that the amount should be paid by Government as the sale proceeds were not credited to the Municipal Funds.

§6 In regard to the sale proceeds it is to be stated that while the Government paid - - Rs. 28,422-15-9 for the lands, the amount - - expected to be realised from sale was only - - Rs. 126,045 and has thus fallen short of the amount, which Government paid for the land by Rs. 5,377-15-9. The Municipality could have claimed the proceeds, if the Government had - - desired any gain from the sale of the land


They have lost Rs 5,377-15-9, which would be -

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

55

of 19 22

Serial
No

60. The 2nd order is to be
forwarded by the way out
of the duplicate charge
on the 1st of June

(1)

2.11

8.4
3.4.

61. Mean for up to 10/11

27/11

61. Draft is to be for 10/11.

3/11-24/11
61.11.24/11

63. L. L. Day)

28/11

64. Revised draft may be typed

8.4
7.4

To be typed & submitted

28/11

65. The typed draft is to be.

3/11-24/11
66.11.24/11

66. L. L. Day)

28/11

6. Draft was revised & may
perhaps be approved

28/11

27.11

8.4
13/11

8.4

2.12

G.S. No.

601

File No.

33

of 1922

General and R
ecretary

Serial
No.

no 2 464-6 of 4/1/22. To inform
Bangalore City of Bangalore, C.M.P.
Sanat Ditch that we, will have to
meet the cost of lay out in the Sanat

B

p b

G R S VI—G/32]

SUBJECT ..

Diary No of letter replied to *L 5981*

General and Revenue Secretariat

(*L. 59*)
the 192

Date of issue .. *21/1/24*

Signed by ... *W. C. A.*

Section *26*

File No *118-21 33-22*

Serial No *11*

No *L 4904*

To

The Dy Commr

Bangalore Dist

Sir,

With ref to your letter
cc 2075/10-21 & dt 9th April 1923
submitting an estimate amounting to
Rs 8,737/- in buying out the sites near
Serhadipuram on Police sub. post,
Bangalore, I am directed to request
you to be so good as to ~~state~~
state what amount has been paid
as compensation to Mr K. H. Ramappa
out of the sale proceeds of same.

over

BKS 26/2

amounting to Rs 23,045/ and whether any, deft, ^{that}
~~there be any balance left out of~~
the said amount after payment
of compensation. It may be seen, that
they are left if the present estimate
should be not be, not out of the
said balance.
A very early reply is requested.

W. J. M.

C. J. M.
21/12/23

13/12/23

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, 7th January 1924.

02 1075/10-4

101

R Venkoba Rao Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

B. Magappa, Esq., Bar-at-law,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

ml 33-11-12

With reference to your No.L.4904/Ml.33-22-11 dated the 2nd instant
re the amount of compensation paid to Mr. V. H. Ramaiya, out of the sale
proceeds of sites near the Seshadripuram Police Outpost, Bangalore, I
have the honor to state that a sum of Rs.28,422-15-9 including interest
has been paid to Mr K.H Ramiah (vide, Comptroller's letter No 925/MADJ
dated 30th January 1923 to the Genl. Secretary to Government) The amount
realised from the sale of sites being only Rs 23,045/- nothing remains
out of the sale proceeds

Besides the amount of compensation an expenditure of Rs.103-7-6
was incurred in connection with the sale of sites (vide, Government order
No P 10603-6/Pol.118-21-49 dated the 4th May 1923)

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,



For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

3903 ~~467~~ 25133

From The Acting Commissioner
Bengaluru

To The Secretary to Government
Mysore
Local & Legislative Dept.

Dated 9-1-24 Bengaluru


Despatched *ch*

Received

General No. GC 2075/20-21
No.

Enclosure No

Refers to the Compensation
Award for the 4-Annas



myr
12/24

R S VI—G/32]

SUBJECT ..

Number No of letter replied to

703/L

Section 41

File No 33-2

Serial No. 13

General and Revenue Secretariat

(C. P. P)

, the

192 .

No

2604b

Date of issue

20/1/23

To

The Municipal Council,

City Municipal Council, Chud

or President, City Municipal

Bangalore

Signed by

[Signature]

For

With ref to the President's
letter no. 446/C. I by the H.E. 4 in
1923 ~~ref~~ ^{to} the address of the ~~Genl~~
Genl Secy ^{that} a detailed
estimate amounting to Rs 8737,
for laying ^{our} into ~~into~~ the land &
joining the Police Post at
Seshadripuram, ~~has been~~ ^{over} forwarded to the Dy Commr
of the Bangalore M.C. for direct

to request you to be so good ^{not} ~~not~~
~~unforgotten~~ they are out of the
 estimate maybe not be debited
 to the funds of the Rural Council. It is
 contained from the Dy Com. Benjamin
 I have to

~~CS~~
 27/1/24 C & L Secy

~~St~~ 23
 22.1.24

that no amount is
 available out of the
 sale proceeds of the
 sites in question for
 meeting this charge.
 A very early reply
 is requested

List of enclos res.


Copied by

Examined by

33465

No. 178
Enc. One

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Bangalore City, Dated, 23rd A P R I. L. 1924,
23-4-24



From

M G KRISHNASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,


MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

BANGALORE CITY

23-22-14

To

H Nigappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.



With reference to your letter No. L 6046/M. 33-22-13

dated 7th January 1924, enquiring why the cost of Rs. 8,73/-
for laying out sites in the land adjoining the Police Out-
post at Beshadri puram Bangalore City, should not be debited to
the funds of the Municipal Council, I have the honor to state
that the question was considered by the Municipal Council
at its meeting held on 12-4-1924 and the Council was of opinion
that as the entire realizations from the sale of these sites
were credited to Government, it was but fair that Government
should bear the said charge.

2 A copy of the resolution is herewith submitted for
kind perusal.

I have the honor to be,

Sr.
Your most obedient servant,

M G Krishnasami Rao
Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with compliments

President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

D.N.P.

for

- 2 -

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್
 ೧೯೨೩-೨೪ ರ ಬಜೆಟ್
 ೧೯೨೩-೨೪ ರ ಬಜೆಟ್
 ೧೯೨೩-೨೪ ರ ಬಜೆಟ್

ಪಿ - ಎ - ಡಿ - ಎ - ಡಿ - ಎ

ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್, ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್, ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್,
 (೧) ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್, ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್, ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್

ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್

೧. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೨. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೩. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೪. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೫. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೬. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೭. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೮. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೯. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೦. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್	೧೧. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೨. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೩. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೪. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೫. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೬. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೭. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೮. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೯. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೨೦. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್
---	--

ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್

೧. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೨. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೩. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೪. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೫. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೬. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೭. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೮. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೯. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೦. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್	೧೧. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೨. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೩. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೪. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೫. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೬. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೭. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೮. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೧೯. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ೨೦. ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್
---	--

X X X

Subject No.15 -Letter No L 5046/Ml 33-2/-13 dated 30-1-1924

from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments requesting to be informed by why the cost of the estimate amounting to Rs.8,737/- for laying out sites in the land adjoining the Police Out-post at Seneadipuram should not be debited to the funds of the Municipal Council.

Resolution - The Council fail to see how they can be saddled with the cost of laying out sites inasmuch as the entire realisation from sites sold were credited to Government.

X X X

3d/, B K Garudachar,
 Honorary President

"C O P Y"


 Municipal Commissioner,
 City Municipal Council,
 Bangalore.

D N R.

Proceedings of the Govt. of M. H
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read --

Government Notification No J. 3040/
Police, 89-15-3, dated the 3rd February 1916
publishing in the Mysore Gazette declara-
tion statement for the acquisition of 3 -
acres and 26 guntas out of S.No. 44 of
Hanumanthapur village, Bangalore Taluk,
for constructing a Police Outpost with -
lines for Seshadripuram, Bangalore.

2. General Secretary's letter No. J.
5202/Police 118-21-20, dated the 11th May
1922 to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore
District, authorising him to notify and
sell by public auction 3 acres and 15 -
guntas out of 3 acres and 26 guntas of land
acquired under the Government Notification
of the 3rd February 1916 read above, keep-
ing only 11 guntas required for the Police
outpost.

3 Para 3 of G.O No. 5449-52/Police
118-21-37, dated the 4th January 1923 --
approving of the proposals of the Deputy
Commissioner, Bangalore District, regarding
the lay-out of the aforesaid 3 acres and
15 guntas into sites and calling for the
necessary estimates for the lay-out from
the President, Bangalore City Municipal
Council

4. Letter No. O.C 2075/20-21, dated
the 9th April 1923, from the Deputy Commis-
sioner, Bangalore District, forwarding for
sanction an estimate amounting to Rs.8,737
for laying out into sites the plot of land

adjoining the Police outpost at Seahadri-
puram together with the connected plans
received from the President, City Municipi-
pal Council, Bangalore.

5. Para 4 of G.O. No. P.10603-6/Police
118-21-49, dated 4th May 1923, stating that
separate orders will issue as regards the
estimate for laying out the sites in ques-
tion.

6. Letter No Q C. 2075/20-21, dated
the 7th January 1924, from the Deputy Commis-
sioner, Bangalore district, stating that a
sum of Rs. 28,422-15-9 including interest
has been paid to Mr. K.H. Ramiah and that the
amount realised from the sale of sites - -
being only Rs. 23,045, nothing remains out of
the sale proceeds.

7. Correspondence ending with letter No.
178 dated the 23rd April 1924 from the Muni-
cipal Commissioner, City Municipal Council,
Bangalore, submitting a copy of the resolu-
tion of the Municipal Council to the effect
that, as the entire realisation from the sale
of the said sites were credited to Govt.,
the Council fail to see how they can be - -
saddled with the cost of laying out the
sites.

P. A. D. 13

Order No. *L A 104-6/111 33-22-15 En one of the sites plan*

Government observe that, though
the sale of proceeds were credited to Govern-
ment, they have paid for the land more than
what was realised from the sale of the sites
Under the existing practice, the Municipal -

3.

Council have to meet the cost of lay out in the extensions. As the Municipal Council ^{and} derive the income from taxes to be levied on the houses in the extension and as it is the primary duty of the Municipal Councils to provide for such works and maintain them in order as affecting the health, safety and convenience of the public, the Government consider that the cost of the lay out in this case is a -- legitimate charge on the Municipal funds and that the work of lay out in this case should be completed by the Municipal -- Council early at their own expense.

5
11/12

Secy to Govt.,

L. & L Departments.

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore
City Municipal Council ^{with copy to} thro' President
City Municipal Council, Bangalore
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District
The Comptroller to Govt.
Copy to Protection Branch.